

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

Please note this document includes Q&A 1, 2, 3 and 4

QUESTION N. 1

We are looking for partners in order to apply for the EDA Call for Proposal regarding the Pilot Project on defence research. Can the EDA assist with this?

ANSWER N. 1

No, EDA does not assist with the search of consortium partners.

QUESTION N. 2

Could you please put me in touch or provide me with the contacts of the technical and contact points in charge of the project?

ANSWER N. 2

No, EDA cannot give the contact details of the project officers involved. As stated in *Annex 3* of the Call for Proposal (*Procedure for submission to the Call*), contacts between EDA and applicants are prohibited throughout the procedure save in exceptional circumstances and under some conditions. For more information, please refer to Annex 3, Procedure for submission to the Call, page 21.

QUESTION N. 3

Has the funding for the topic PP-15-INR-02 been approved? Are this funding depending on the other two topics awarded proposals?

ANSWER N. 3

No, the funding has not yet been approved. This funding does not depend on the other two topics.

CALL FOR PROPOSAL

QUESTION N. 1

The Call mentions, in section ‘3.2.- *Eligibility check*’, that an eligibility criteria is to be in possession of the necessary accreditation to handle classified information. There is no mention in the call text about the security clearance level required for each Pilot Project topics. Could you please give us an idea about the required accreditation level?

ANSWER N. 1

The required accreditation level will depend on the level of classified data which is intended to be used and/or produced.

If the overall classification of the project proposal is unclassified there is no need to present security clearances.

QUESTION N. 2

If the eligibility criteria requests consortium members to demonstrate their accreditation level to handle classified information, the follow sentence in page 12 is confusing “...*they shall be able to produce a security clearance at the appropriate level obtained from their National Security Authority*”. Could you please clarify whether the clearance is requested in any or all of the Pilot Project topics?

ANSWER N. 2

The level of security depends on the specific project proposal. If the specific project proposal indicates in the submission form that there is as security level, then the necessary security clearances should be provided. In the item of the submission form (‘*security*’) you should indicate whether security issues apply; if so, you should thus provide the appropriate forms.

QUESTION N. 3

In case the accreditation to handle classified information is needed, should our National Security Authority inform EDA directly?

ANSWER N. 3

In case of Facility Security Clearances (FSC), Yes. EDA security shall have received confirmation from the relevant National Security Authorities/Designated Security Authorities (NSA/DSA) that an appropriate FSC has been issued.

In case a project indicates that there is classified information, Personnel Security Clearances (PSC) need to be provided.

QUESTION N. 4

Is this accreditation requested for the whole consortium or only for the coordinator and/or specific partners?

ANSWER N. 4

This depends on the project proposal and if all deliverables and staff involved have a need-to-know.

QUESTION N. 5

The call for proposal states that participants can be public authorities, industrial organisations, public and private (including SMEs), higher education institutions and research organisations. Does the category of public authorities include the one of military public authorities?

ANSWER N. 5

Yes, they are. The text mainly refers to Military or defence research institutes that are funded by from public finances.

QUESTION N. 6

Are the indicative budgets the maximum budget by topic? Is the amount including or excluding VAT?

ANSWER N. 6

The indicative budget is the maximum amount available per topic. In other words, any budget below or equal to the indicative budget is acceptable.

The project is VAT exempt.

QUESTION N. 7

Could you please confirm if the rule of participation of minimum three organisations from three different member states applies for this Call?

ANSWER N. 7

Annex I of the Call for proposals, Article 2.2, indicates the minimum number of participants: *‘projects shall be carried out by a consortium of at least three independent legal entities from three different Member States.’*

QUESTION N. 8

Paragraph 4 of section I.1 of the Call for Proposal states that ‘Project duration shall not exceed 18 months’. However, at the end of the description of topic PP-15-INR-01 (page 5) and topic PP-15-INR-02 (page 6), it is written that ‘The work has to be planned over a period of 12 months’. Which one is correct, 12 or 18 months?

ANSWER N. 8

The project duration of PP-15-INR-01 is 12 months (see page 5).

The project duration of PP-15-INR-02 is 12 months (see page 7).

The project duration of PP-15-STAN-CERT-01 is 18 months (see page 8).

QUESTION N. 9

The existence of a ‘reserve list of proposals’ (section 4.2 of the Call for Proposal) kept in reserve to allow for ‘[...] savings to be made during grant negotiations’, suggests that more than one proposal could be contracted for each topic. Is this correct?

ANSWER N. 9

It is foreseen that one project per topic will be awarded a grant agreement. A reserve list, with a number of proposals, is established indeed for the cases (article 4.2 of the Call for Proposal) of failure of negotiations, withdrawal of proposals and/or savings.

QUESTION N. 10

A clearly defined page limit of 70 pages is given for sections 1, 2 and 3 of part B of the proposal. Does this mean that section 4 (information on Participants and Security) is excluded from the page limit?

ANSWER N. 10

Yes. The cover page and section 1, 2 and 3 together should not be longer than 70 pages.

QUESTION N. 11

The selection criteria (section 1.3, p 19 of the Call for proposals) states that applicants must provide the appropriate documents attesting to operational and technical competencies and capacities required to complete the proposed activities. Please advise on how this should be accomplished (e.g. separate documents or reference lists in section 4 of Part B of the proposal, or otherwise).

ANSWER N. 11

Experts will have to be able to attest the operational/technical capacity of the participants. This criteria can be met either submitting some documents such as organisation activity reports, proof of experience in carrying out equivalent actions in related fields, or:

- a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal;
 - a curriculum vitae or description of the profile of the persons, including their gender, who will be primarily responsible for carrying out the proposed research and/or innovation activities;
 - a list of up to 5 relevant publications, and/or products, services (including widely-used datasets or software), or other achievements relevant to the call content;
 - a list of up to 5 relevant previous projects or activities, connected to the subject of this proposal;
 - a description of any significant infrastructure and/or any major items of technical equipment, relevant to the proposed work;
 - [any other supporting documents specified in the work programme for this call.]
- (please refer to section 4 of the Proposal Submission Form).

QUESTION N. 12

Annex 3 of the Call for proposals (p 20) states that “Proposals must be signed by the applicant(s)”. Does this mean that authorized signatures from all organizations participating in the proposal are

required? Or is this considered to be covered by item 1) under the heading “Declarations” in Part A, section 1 of the proposal?

ANSWER N. 12

The Declaration has to be signed by the consortium leader only.

Participants will have to sign the mandate - Annex IV of the Model Grant Agreement (please refer also to Q&A 16 below).

QUESTION N. 13

It is our understanding that the following documents are required to be submitted in the envelope to constitute an eligible proposal. Please advise if our understanding is not correct.

-Proposal

--Administrative form (Part A)

--Research proposal (Part B)

-Exclusion criteria documents (certifying that Participants are not in any of the situations listed in page 18 of Call for proposals)

-Supporting documents on financial capacity

-Appropriate documents attesting the operational capacity

ANSWER N. 13

Yes, it is correct.

You will have to add the necessary accreditation to handle classified information, if necessary.

Please also refer to Annex III of the Call for proposal.

You can also add the budget table indicated in the section below: *PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM, question & answer n. 4*

QUESTION N. 14

I would like to request additional information on the topic *PP-15-INTR-01: Unmanned Heterogeneous Swarm of Sensor Platforms*.

In the call text for this topic the following is stated: The project should demonstrate, through a live experimentation (...). However in the expected output and objectives a first level description and specification is asked.

Can you please clarify if there needs to be a live experimentation or only a paper study (first level description and specification)?

ANSWER N. 14

The expected output can't be a live demonstration as such, but the study should contain experiments. The output will be based on paper studies and an assessment (through Roadmaps, SWOT, specifications, ...) of real/simulated (or Live-Virtual) experimentation of solutions/architectures. The experiments are to highlight the gaps to fill, to investigate the interest/danger of such or such architecture, but it has not to be considered as an output.

The output will be based on the evaluation of theoretical and practical assessments.

QUESTION N. 15

I would like to request additional information on the topic *PP-15-INTR-01: Unmanned Heterogeneous Swarm of Sensor Platforms*. Should we include an impact section that addresses dissemination and exploitation or just a relevant task in the description of the implementation?

ANSWER N. 15

The description of the implementation is mandatory, but all relevant complementary approach e.g. on the impact of Architecture or Technologies, could be appreciated if that enable to better stimulate their development.

QUESTION N. 16

The call specifically mentions that *‘Proposals must be signed by the applicant(s) or its duly authorized representative and must be perfectly legible so that there can be no doubt as to words and figures’*. As project coordinator, should the proposal be signed by our director or by the researcher that will be employed as project manager? And where exactly should the signature be placed?

ANSWER N. 16

The Declaration has to be signed by the consortium leader only, under *‘Proposal Submission Form - PART A – Administrative Form, 1- General Information - signature by the consortium leader’*. The Call for proposals and the Proposal Submission Form have been modified accordingly. The proposal has to be signed by the consortium leader or its legal representative. Is the responsibility of each entity to decide who can sign on its behalf. Participants will have to sign the mandate - Annex IV of the Model Grant Agreement.

QUESTION N. 17

In Annex 2, under paragraph 1.1 “Exclusion Criteria” of the Call (page 18) is written *“Applicants must provide documents certifying that they are not in any of the situations listed below: [...]”*. What kind of documents should be provided? Would it be enough to sign a “Declaration of honour on exclusion criteria and absence of conflict of interest”?

ANSWER N. 17

Applicants must provide any document that appropriately certifies that they are not in one of the situation described in paragraph 1.1 of Annex 2.

QUESTION N. 18

Can you please clarify whether VAT is considered as an eligible cost?

ANSWER N. 18

As described under III. Overview of Budget of the Call for Proposals text, VAT paid by beneficiaries of grants awarded following this call for proposals is eligible except:

- deductible VAT (VAT paid by the beneficiary for the implementation of taxed activities or exempt activities with right of deduction);
- VAT paid for the implementation of activities engaged in as a public authority by the beneficiary where it is a Member State, regional or local government authority of a Member

State or another body governed by public law of a Member State. Considering that beneficiaries that are public bodies of Member States are expected to carry out activities as public authorities (to exercise prerogatives of public powers), VAT paid by beneficiaries that are public bodies established in Member States is, in principle, ineligible.

PROPOSAL SUBMISSION FORM

QUESTION N. 1

It seems that some basic administrative information (such as legal name, registration number, etc) on participating organizations (other than the coordinating organization), should not be provided in Part A of the proposal. It seems that this information is neither to be provided in section 4 (Members of the consortium), of Part B. Is this correct?

ANSWER N. 1

Yes. For the consortium participant, you will have to fill in the information below.

[Consortium participant 1](#)

Title:

First name

Family name:

E-mail:

Contact number:

Organisation:

Position:

Department:

Please indicate next to ‘Consortium participant’ the legal name of your entity.

QUESTION N. 2

In section 4 of the Proposal Submission Form (Members of the consortium), the following instruction is given under the heading ‘Participants (applicants)’: ‘Please provide, for each participant (outlined at page 6), the following (if available)’. What information the reference to page 6 refers to?

ANSWER N.2

For each participant listed under section 2 – ‘Administrative data of participating organisations’, the proposal has to describe the following (if available):

- a description of the legal entity and its main tasks, with an explanation of how its profile matches the tasks in the proposal;
- a curriculum vitae or description of the profile of the persons, including their gender, who will be primarily responsible for carrying out the proposed research and/or innovation activities;
- a list of up to 5 relevant publications, and/or products, services (including widely-used datasets or software), or other achievements relevant to the call content;
- a list of up to 5 relevant previous projects or activities, connected to the subject of this proposal;
- a description of any significant infrastructure and/or any major items of technical equipment, relevant to the proposed work;
- [any other supporting documents specified in the work programme for this call.]

QUESTION N. 3

In section 4 of the Proposal Submission Form (Members of the consortium), a heading “Security” gives the option to answer “Yes/No” to two questions. Is a Yes/No-answer sufficient to cover these issues adequately in the proposal?

ANSWER N. 3

Yes, it is sufficient.

However, if you tick the box ‘yes’ you should provide the appropriate forms.

QUESTION n. 4

Although the submission form reflects the resources to be committed to the project (tables F and G), it does not seem to include the total cost of the project. Is this correct? Should we include the total cost of the project in any of the sections of the Submission Form?

ANSWER N. 4

Yes. Please add to the Submission Form the following tables:

Budget Table

Please indicate the planned budget for each consortium participant, as well as for the consortium as a whole.

Consortium participant 1

Participant Number/Short Name	€
Direct costs	
Personnel	
Subcontracting	
Other direct costs	
<u>Total direct costs</u>	
Total indirect costs	
-out of which 25%	
<u>Total costs</u>	
<u>Requested EU contribution</u>	

Consortium participant 2

<u>Participant Number/Short Name</u>	€
Direct costs	
Personnel	
Subcontracting	
Other direct costs	
<u>Total direct costs</u>	
Total indirect costs	
<u>-out of which 25%</u>	
<u>Total costs</u>	
<u>Requested EU contribution</u>	

Please reproduce these tables according to the number of participants in your consortium.

Consortium Total Budget

Participant Number/Short Name	Estimated eligible costs			Requested EU contribution
	100% Direct costs	25% Indirect costs	Total eligible costs	
1				
2				
TOTAL				

Note that the budget mentioned in this table is the total budget requested by the beneficiaries for the whole duration of the project

Note that the maximum amount for the requested EU contribution depends on the budget stated in the Call for Proposals.

QUESTION N. 5

In the Proposal Submission Form, the ‘Part A – Administrative Part – 2 – Administrative data of participating organisations’ seems to refer only to the organisation that leads the consortium. Is this interpretation correct?

ANSWER N. 5

Yes, you have to fill in the table ‘*Organisation carrying out the proposed work*’ with the information related to the consortium leader.

Details for the consortium participants must be filled in on the next page (table ‘Consortium Participant (Legal name of organisation)’).

Please reproduce this table according to the number of participants in your consortium.

QUESTION N. 6

Apparently, except for the consortium leader, there is no need to fill in the detailed data (address, registration number, legal status) of the other organizations that are part of the consortium, as only the data of the consortium leader of these organisations are required. Is this interpretation correct?

ANSWER N. 6

Yes.

QUESTION N. 7

Under the Part B of the Proposal Submission Form, Section 1 (1. List of participants), it is requested to indicate the list of participants again. This information has already been included in Part A, section 2. Why this information is requested again?

ANSWER N. 7

The table ‘List of Participants’ under Part B of the Proposal Submission Form gives a quick overview of the all members of the consortium.

QUESTION N. 7

Table G (page 16) of the Proposal Submission Form should reflect travel, equipment and other goods and services, where these costs exceeds 15% of the personnel cost. Where should these eligible costs be included if they do not exceed 15% of the personnel cost?

ANSWER N. 7

The Table G is an informative table which gives us an idea of how costs are shared.

MODEL GRANT AGREEMENT

QUESTION N. 1

In Article II.8.1 references are made to Article I.8.1. Should this be Article I.7.1?

ANSWER N. 1

Yes.

QUESTION N. 2

In Article I.7.1 reference is made to Article II.7. Should this be Article II.8?

ANSWER N. 2

Yes

QUESTION N. 3

Is there a Security Aspect Letter template?

ANSWER N. 3

The Security Aspect Letter will be added to the draft contract in case the project is decided to be at determined classification level.

QUESTION N. 4

In the Model Grant Agreement (MGA), Article II.7, references are made to Annex I and Annex 1, respectively.

It is our understanding that Annex I refers to the Description of the Action (in principle the Proposal submitted in accordance with the Submission form). Is this correct?

ANSWER N. 4

Yes. The reference should be only to Annex I (Description of the Action). The reference will be modified.

QUESTION N. 5

Article II.7.2 states that the security requirements in the Security Classification Guide (SCG) are set out in Annex 1. Is this referring to the Commissions provisions on security mentioned under 2.1. General principles in Annex 1? Please advise.

ANSWER N. 5

No. The article should be read:

‘...Activities related to ‘classified results’ (see Annex I) must comply with the ‘security requirements’ (Security Aspect Letter (SAL) and the Security Classification Guide (SCG)) set out in Annex I until they are declassified...’

QUESTION N. 6

Article II.7.2 – Classified results, states “Activities related to ‘classified results’ (see Annex I)”. It is not clear to us what the reference to Annex I means in this context, other than the “Y/N” indication under the heading “Security” of section 4 in the Submission Form. Please advise.

ANSWER N. 6

If you indicated in section 4 of the Proposal Submission Form that your proposal will involve activities or result raising security issues or ‘EU classified information’ as background or results, you should make reference of this in the Description of the Action (Annex I).

Annex I, Description of the Action, will include the final version of the proposal as result of the negotiation phase.

QUESTION N. 7

Concerning the Intellectual Property Rights arrangements of the project, the Article 7.3 of the Rules for participation establishes that ‘*a case by case approach shall be adopted with negotiations with the participants taking place before award*’. That seems in contradiction with Annex 3 (Procedure for submission to the call) which implies ‘acceptance of all the terms and conditions set out in the Call and grant agreement’.

Do the acceptance of all the terms and conditions set out in the Call and grant agreement allow the negotiation case by case about the IPR?

ANSWER N. 7

There is no contradiction. The acceptance of all the terms and conditions set out in the Call and grant agreement foresee that ‘regarding the IPR arrangements of the projects a case by case approach shall be adopted with negotiations with the participants taking place before the award’.

A general framework for the IPR arrangement is also described in the Rules for Participation and in the Model Grant Agreement.