

Integration of US sourced military platforms into an EMAR-based airworthiness system

2018 Military Airworthiness Conference

GPCAPT Joe Medved

Defence Aviation Safety Authority - Director Aviation Engineering





Overview – Integration of US platforms under DASR

- Background and context
- Key enabling features supporting integration of US platforms into AUS under DASR





Background

- 2017 AU DASA presentation: *EMAR implementation by Australia*
- High level of audience level of interest:
 - 'General philosophy that the DASRs would cover all Military Aviation:
 - All aspects of aviation safety including airworthiness (EMAR) and operations; and
 - All military aviation operations including normal peacetime and active operations both at home and abroad'
 - DASR applied to <u>all Defence platforms</u> and regulated community
- 2018 AU DASA presentation
 - Focus on a challenging group of platforms designed, certified and supported under non-EMAR like airworthiness frameworks
 - <u>US sourced military platforms and DASR compliance</u>
 www.defence.gov.a







Sirkosky MH-60R



Boeing CH-47F



Sirkosky S-70A-9



F-35A



F/A-18 A/B



F/A-18 Super Hornet and Growler







Background

- Each US based support construct has unique characteristics (not aligned to EMAR), underpinned by a common configuration principle:
 - **F-35/A** Lightning Joint US Service + Partners
 - United States Air Force (USAF) certification (F-35/A) / global support solution
 - Seahawk 60R, Super Hornet F/A-18F and Growler F/A-18 G;
 - Foreign Military Sales (FMS) via United States Navy (USN) certification and support
 - Chinook CH-47
 - FMS via United States Army (US Army) certification and support
 - **C-17**
 - FMS via USAF certification and global 'fleet' support
 - Poseidon P-8 and Triton
 - USN lead Co-operative acquisition certification and support







Background - Challenges

- Multiple US military airworthiness frameworks
- Differences to EMAR
 - processes particularly for continued and continuing airworthiness
 - absence of organisational approvals,
 - approach to safety assurance oversight by multiple organisations (MAA, DCMA and Services)
- We need to speak US Department of Defense language
 - US DoD will not change their policy/process to meet our needs
- Solution focus on desired airworthiness regulatory outcomes
 - DASA supplementary guidance material in the form of 'Advisory Circulars'





Key enabling features supporting integration of US platforms - flexible and pragmatic assurance

- DASA airworthiness assurance function retained for US sourced platforms and services in a flexible / pragmatic manner
 - Major changes to type design approved by DASA (even if Authority Level of Involvement is minimal)
 - reliant on recognition
 - confirm that any appreciable differences in configuration, role or operating environment have been adequately considered
 - pragmatism in addressing eligibility and demonstration of compliance
 - Approved Organisations equivalence (people, process, behaviour perspective)
 - Use of DASR flexibility/derogation provisions *do not seek exemptions*





Flexible and pragmatic safety assurance AC002/2018 - Applications for MTCs and Major Changes to TCs

• Scenarios applicable to US DoD sourced military aircraft



Scenario 1. Applications for major changes to TCs developed by DASR 21J Military Design Organisation

Generally not applicable



Scenario 2. Application based upon an aircraft type-design that has been certified by a recognised NMAA

• Eg USN Flight Clearance recommendation, USAF MTC recommendation



Scenario 3. Leveraging non-DASR design organisations in developing designs for DASA certification

 'the arrangements with the external DO provides an acceptable equivalence to the DASR 21 Subpart J requirements'





Flexible and pragmatic safety assurance AC002/2018 - Applications for MTCs and Major Changes to TCs



** Format to apply for issue of an MTC will be agreed to by the applicant and DASA during the Project

*** Includes confirmation of ongoing validity of eligibility demonstration (i.e. via DASA oversight and surveillance)







Flexible and pragmatic safety assurance JSF JPO Military Design Organisation example

- DASA Military Design Organisation Approval awarded to F-35 Joint Program Office (JPO) in May 2018
 - Exposition documents the management system, organisation and relationships with contracted [design] organisations
 - Did not attempt to address individual regulatory requirements
- Privileges
 - targeted towards AU CAMO & MTCH who consume JPO products (eg modifications, repairs, ICA, Flight manual amendments)
 - withheld and caveated where necessary
 - nil privilege to classify designs retain USAF Airworthiness classification
 - Authority shall accept major changes without further verification (on condition that differences (if any) to AU configuration, role or operating environment have nil appreciable effect on airworthiness)

Defending Australia and its National Interests www.defence.gov.au





DASA approach to Recognition

- Recognition: an acknowledgement *by DASA* that another <u>airworthiness</u> <u>authority</u> applies a credible and defensible safety assurance framework.
- DASA takes a '*top-down*' approach to recognition:
 - AC 004/2018 Airworthiness Recognition in the Defence Aviation Safety Program
 - Tier 1: Competent authority assessment (DASA) : *EMAD R* + *sampling*
 - Tier 2: Credible and defensible airworthiness management system (DASA) : *EMAD R* + *additional assessment of airworthiness framework*
 - Tier 3: Suitable platform arrangements (Regulated Community)
- Each recognition has a set of <u>terms</u> telling the regulated community:
 - what is possible through recognition (scope & conditions); and
 - how to ensure the suitability of arrangements (caveats).









Key enabling features supporting integration of US platforms US DoD NMAA Recognition

- Formal recognition of US DoD regulatory frameworks
 - Formal recognition established 2014 (legacy AUS Aw framework)
 - Updated recognition certificates (USN & USAF 2018; US Army- early 2019)
 - http://www.defence.gov.au/DASP/DASR-Regulations/Recognition.asp
- Realising benefits of recognition (product level)
 - Tier 1 and 2 recognition of US DoD NMAA (by DASA)
 - US DoD MARQ assessment + further /deeper review of US DoD policy and execution (including Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) function)
 - Tier 3 direct consumption by CAMO/MTCH (suitability assessment) for:
 - Maintenance (acknowledging DCMA QA)
 - Production (acknowledging DCMA QA)
 - Minor design changes (including ICA, Flight Manual amendment) and all repairs
 - Tier 3 Maximise leverage (by AU MTCH / DASA) for major changes challenges (by AU MTCH / DASA) for major changes (by AU MTCH / DASA) for major



Key enabling features supporting integration of US platforms Government TC Holder and Instrument Flexibility

- Use of Government TC Holder provisions (DASR 21.A.14(c))
 - AC 006/2017 Military Type Certificate Arrangements
 - Government organisation generally not a design organisation
 - Underpinned by an appropriate design organisation to fulfil holder obligations
 - Generation of a Type Continued Airworthiness Exposition
 - Explains TCH support arrangements http://www.defence.gov.au/DASP/DASR-Regulations/DASR-Templates.asp
- Instrument Flexibility Military Permit to Fly (MPTF)
 - Used to support risk based operations prior to completion of evidence based Type Certification (& where restricted type certificate not appropriate)
 - <u>AC 003/2017 DASR Implementation of Military Permits to Fly</u>
 - MPTF used for AU F-35 (leveraging off USAF F-35 Military Flight Release)

www.defence.gov.au



Summary

- With pragmatism, DASR (EMAR) have sufficient flexibility to account for US DoD sourced aircraft and [global] sustainment arrangement
- DASA has overcome challenges for US sourced equipment through:
 - Flexible and pragmatic safety assurance (nil exemptions)
 - NMAA Recognition (product level)
 - Government TC Holder and Instrument Flexibility

http://www.defence.gov.au/DASP/DASR-Regulations/AdvisoryCirculars.asp



QUESTIONS

