

Peter Malmberg

Lt Colonel, M.Sc. (Tech.) Airworthiness Inspector

e-mail: <u>peter.malmberg@mil.se</u> https://www.linkedin.com/in/peter-malmberg

SE MAA, EDA MAC 2023 Management of UH-60 in an EMAR based environment

From delivery to International mission in 62 weeks!



Swedish Air Force UH-60M Blackhawk/HKP16

Management of UH-60 in an EMAR based environment

Overview

- Background
- Military Type Acceptance (MTA),
 SE-EMAR 21 Subpart SE
- Aviation Safety dimensions &
 SE-EMAR M.A.201 (a) "AMC/GM"
- Takeaways



Background

> Year 2012, New Operational Requirement;

- Medevac Capabilities to support "Forward Medevac ISAF"
- ≻ Fifteen (15) UH-60M/HKP16 purchased.
 - Foreign Military Sales; US Gov. (UHPO) to SE Gov. (FMV)
 - Initially purchased as a gap filler, but is going to be used within SwAF for a long time period (2023<?).

SwAF UH-60M/HKP16 International missions:

- SAE ISAF, Medevac, Afghanistan, 2013-2014
- Op TAKUBA, Support SF, Mali, 2021-2022





Military Type Acceptance – What's MTA? (SE-EMAR 21 Subpart SE)

- Acceptance of another recognised authority's "active" Type Approval:
 - Validation of the Type Approval made by another authority and is formally not a certification.
 - Manuals, instructions (ICA), "constraints" etc. referred to from the accepted Type Approval becomes valid also in the Swedish military aviation system.
 - Relevant Airworthiness Directives (issued within the recognised system) must be incorporated. If needed SE MAA can issue Mil-AD.
- Feasible for accepting a civil TC as well as accepting a type approval from a system completely different from EMAR/EASA-system e.g. US FMS cases, as long as the DAH (Design Approval Holder):
 - Continued Airworthiness procedures are active.
 - Is under oversight of an authority function in a recognised aviation system.
 - No formal relationship between SE MAA and the DAH. SE MAA does not perform oversight over the DAH.
- Recognition between NMAAs is required (i.a.w. EMAD R).

MILITÄRA FLYGINSPEKTIONEN

Example MTA - UH-60M/HKP16

- U.S. Army SRD is delegated NMAA for this product. They issue;
 - Statement of Airworthiness Qualification (SAQ) ~ MTC
 - Airworthiness Release (AWR) ~ CoA
- SE MAA has a recognition with U.S. Army SRD (System Readiness Division)
- Changes can be approved by SRD via new AWR's;
 - U.S. Army UHPO/SRD responsible for configuration control (incl closed interface).
 - SwAF CAMO responsible for configuration management and control of mission equipment with open interface.
 - SE can formally modify without new AWR but we want to keep the relationsship with SRD i.a.w. AWR.





Example MTA - GLOBAL 6000 AEW&C

- Under development by Saab.
 - Bombardier G6000 TC/TCDS by EASA
 - SE MAA MSTC for SE configuration (AEW&C)
- Saab has MDOA/MPOA for the development of the MSTC i.a.w SE-EMAR 21 SUBPART E.
- Saab has a liaison agreement with Bombardier on the type design to get access to relevant type design.





Aviation Safety dimensions



Aviation Safety dimensions (cont)

Is it necessary to apply the same requirements for equipment fixed onboard that are not really aircraft system, not affecting Air System Airworthiness (but affects Weapon System Safety)?

- Tactical computers & Armament management
- Sonars
- Trackers
- Troop Radios
- Optical systems
- Sling loads
- Medical equipment
- Sniper supports
- Etc.



Aviation Safety dimensions (cont)



EMAR M.A.201.

M.A.201 Responsibilities

(a) The Operating Organisation is accountable for the continuing airworthiness of an aircraft and shall ensure that no flight takes place unless:

1. the aircraft is maintained in an airworthy condition; and

2. any **operational** and emergency **equipment fitted is correctly installed and** <u>serviceable</u> or clearly identified as unserviceable; and

3. the Military Certificate of Airworthiness and the Military Airworthiness Review Certificate (MARC) remain valid; and

4. the maintenance of the aircraft is performed in accordance with the Aircraft Maintenance Programme (AMP) as specified in EMAR M.A.302.



SE-EMAR M.A.201 "AMC/GM"

. . .

SE-EMAR M.A.201 "AMC/GM"

Objective:

Set the right standard for operational/mission equipment to reduce certification effort and maintenance requirements.



Takeaways

- Reduced certification effort for quick introduction of Air Systems, can be achieved thru requirements based on Military Type Acceptance, SE-EMAR 21 Subpart SE.
 (https://www.forsvarsmakten.se/siteassets/2-om-forsvarsmakten/dokument/regler-for-militar-luftfart/sarskilda-bestammelser-i-se-emar/rml tb_emar 21 ipm.pdf)
- Adaptable Air/Weapon Systems design can be achieved thru integration of operational/mission equipment into open interfaces based on SE-EMAR M.A.201 (a) "AMC/GM".

(https://www.forsvarsmakten.se/siteassets/2-om-forsvarsmakten/dokument/regler-for-militar-luftfart/tillampningsbeslut/ tillampningsbeslut-se-emar-m-m.a.201.pdf)



 Enhanced Aviation Safety Dimensions definitions in EMAD 1 could be beneficial in international cooperation. e.g.; Flight safety, System safety weapon system, Operational safety, Safe for flight.